



Advance Payments of the Premium Tax Credit (APTC) and Federally-facilitated Exchange (FFE) User Fee Program Assessment Report

for

Quartz Health Benefit Plans Corporation

November 30, 2022

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Sections 1401 and 1412 of the Affordable Care Act (ACA) established the advance payments of the premium tax credit (APTC) program to support the provision of affordable health care coverage to individuals. Additionally, section 1311 of the ACA allows the Federally-facilitated Exchanges (FFE) to charge participating issuers user fees to support FFE operations.

Under title 45 of the Code of Federal Regulations (CFR), sections 156.480 and 156.705, the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) may audit issuers that offer a Qualified Health Plan (QHP) in the individual market through an Exchange to assess the degree of compliance with the APTC and FFE user fee program requirements. The Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services (CMS) established an audit protocol to assess health insurance issuers' compliance with the following regulations governing APTC and FFE user fee programs and other related applicable Exchange operational standards:

- 45 CFR § 155.400: Enrollment of qualified individuals into QHPs;
- 45 CFR § 155.430: Termination of Exchange enrollment or coverage;
- 45 CFR § 156.50: Financial support;
- 45 CFR § 156.270: Termination of coverage or enrollment for qualified individuals;
- 45 CFR § 156.460: Reduction of enrollee's share of premium to account for advance payments of the premium tax credit;
- 45 CFR § 156.480: Oversight of the administration of the cost-sharing reductions and advance payments of the premium tax credit programs; and
- 45 CFR § 156.705: Maintenance of records for Federally-facilitated Exchanges.

This report is an assessment of Quartz Health Benefit Plans Corporation (Quartz)'s compliance with the APTC and FFE user fee programs. Quartz is a health insurance issuer that offered QHPs in the individual market on the FFE in Wisconsin during the 2018 benefit year. The issuer received a total of \$102,870,825.63 in APTC from CMS and paid a total of \$5,030,002.26 in FFE user fees to CMS for the 2018 benefit year. The payment amounts were calculated using CMS's automated payment system, policy-based payments (PBP).

Based on the assessment of Quartz's program participation, if CMS found any instances of issuer non-compliance with APTC and FFE user fee program requirements that requires correction to payment, then CMS classified it as a *finding* in section III. If CMS found a deviation from APTC and FFE user fee program requirements that does not require correction to payment, then CMS categorized it as an *observation* in section IV in order to call management's attention to the issue(s) for purposes of improving compliance in future program years.

As noted in the Payment Policy and Financial Management Group (PPFMG) External Audit Communication letter dated July 19, 2019, consistent with the expiration of the good faith policy at 45 CFR § 156.800(c), CMS may begin imposing civil money penalties (CMPs) for observations identified beginning with benefit year 2020 audits.

II. BACKGROUND AND AUDIT METHODOLOGY

A. PBP Background

Starting in 2016, CMS implemented an automated PBP system to support the collection of FFE user fees and to make monthly payments of APTC. The PBP system calculates the payment and charge amounts based on enrollment information at the policy level. CMS and issuers use the X12 standard 834 enrollment transaction in real time to exchange FFE enrollment data. To confirm the accuracy and consistency of the FFE enrollment data that CMS uses to make automated payments, CMS also conducts a monthly enrollment reconciliation process. CMS provides a Pre-Audit File to issuers containing a snapshot of the FFE database for the benefit year, and issuers respond by submitting an Inbound Reconciliation (RCNI) File to CMS that contains the benefit year's enrollment data as reflected in the issuer's systems. As a part of the reconciliation processes, CMS reconciles the RCNI file with the Pre-Audit File using a set of business rules that reflect CMS's enrollment policy to determine whether updates were required. This process implements a complex set of business rules to determine which issuer enrollment updates are accepted or rejected. The output of the comparison, the Outbound Reconciliation (RCNO) File, is sent to issuers to show which records CMS anticipates updating in the FFE database and which records CMS is directing the issuer to update in their systems. CMS conducted this enrollment reconciliation process for the 2018 benefit year from December 2017 through March 2019.

CMS provided a final opportunity for issuers to compare their 2018 FFE individual enrollment data with the current 2018 enrollment data in the FFE database, via an optional off-cycle enrollment reconciliation process. Unlike typical enrollment reconciliation runs, CMS did not update FFE enrollment data based on the off-cycle enrollment reconciliation. Instead, issuers were encouraged to submit disputes for any outstanding discrepancies resulting from the off-cycle enrollment reconciliation processes that required updates to FFE data.

B. Audit Methodology

On February 16, 2021, Quartz was notified by CMS that they were selected for audit for the 2018 benefit year. Once selected, CMS required the submission of a new RCNI file that contained the 2018 benefit year individual market enrollment data as currently reflected in the issuer's systems. CMS also required the submission of policies and procedures, policy documentation for selected samples of policies, and a Premium Payment Data Extract containing premium payment data from the issuer's system for a selected sample of policies. Using the issuer provided data files and documentation, the following audit procedures were performed to assess compliance with APTC and FFE user fee program rules and regulations.

Validations of PBP Payments/Charges based on Data Reported in CMS's Systems through Enrollment Reconciliation

For purposes of the audit, the issuer submitted an updated RCNI file that reflected a current snapshot of individual market enrollment data for the 2018 benefit year. During the audit, CMS reconciled the issuer provided RCNI file with the Pre-Audit File representing the most recent FFE data as of the beginning of the audit to identify any data differences and used the output of the comparison (the audit RCNO file) as the basis for performing the checks in its audit procedures to validate PBP payments. CMS executed audit procedures to identify the policies that have a financial impact listed in section III of this report. CMS referred to its enrollment policy and PBP requirements to develop the audit protocols that determine whether the discrepancies identified through these reviews and comparisons required adjustment to

payment¹. Data differences identified between the issuer’s enrollment records and the FFE data in the audit RCNO file were reviewed and communicated to the issuer for resolution or confirmation as part of the audit process. Any policies with the following remaining confirmed data differences that required adjustment to payment after the completion of this process are detailed in an Excel file provided to Quartz in conjunction with the draft report:

- 1) Coverage status: Policies that were effectuated in CMS’s data but not the issuer’s data or vice-versa (referred to as “CMS Unreconciled” or “Issuer Unreconciled”, respectively);
- 2) Coverage dates: Policies where the dates of coverage did not align between CMS and the issuer (referred to as “CMS Extra Coverage” or “Issuer Extra Coverage”); and/or
- 3) Financial differences: Policies where premium and resulting FFE user fee and/or APTC amounts differed between CMS’s data and the issuer’s data (referred to as “Financial Differences with/without Coverage Differences”).

Validations of the Correct Application of CMS Enrollment Policy

Using the policy documentation, data files, and policies and procedures provided by the issuer, CMS executed audit procedures to identify the observations listed in section IV of this report. The reviews include the Forty-Five (45) Subscriber Sample Policy-level Documentation Review, Premium Payment Data Extract Validation, and Policies and Procedures Review.

CMS conducted a discrepancy phase following execution of the audit procedures detailed above to work with the issuer to resolve or reduce data differences identified. CMS adjudicated the issuer follow-up and, after the analysis, issued this report.

¹ [Enrollment Reconciliation rules](https://regtap.cms.gov) are available on <https://regtap.cms.gov>.

III. SUMMARY OF FINDINGS WITH FINANCIAL IMPACT

A finding is the identification of an instance of issuer non-compliance with APTC and FFE user fee program requirements that requires correction to payment. CMS’s audit procedures identified data differences that resulted in a change to the total APTC payment made to Quartz and the total FFE user fees collected from Quartz for individual market plans during the 2018 benefit year. The APTC and FFE user fee financial impact is shown in the following table.

APTC Payment and FFE User Fee Collection Financial Impact

	Number of Policies Impacted	APTC Payment	FFE User Fee Payment	Total
Policies where CMS owes the Issuer APTC	17	\$29,275.47	\$(1,120.61)	\$28,154.86
Policies where the Issuer owes CMS APTC	5	\$(15,614.70)	\$705.52	\$(14,909.18)
User Fee Only Policies where CMS owes the Issuer FFE UF	10	N/A	\$727.99	\$727.99
User Fee Only Policies where the Issuer owes CMS FFE UF	8	N/A	\$(1,118.88)	\$(1,118.88)
Total Impact	40	\$13,660.77	\$(805.98)	\$12,854.79

Note: Positive values indicate funds owed to the issuer; negative values indicate amounts owed to CMS.

The net financial impact is a payment due to Quartz of \$12,854.79, which consists of \$13,660.77 in APTC to be paid to Quartz and \$805.98 in FFE user fees to be paid to CMS. The policies impacted and the associated financial impact are detailed in an Excel file provided to Quartz in conjunction with the draft report.

The APTC payment and user fee payment adjustments will be processed in the monthly payment cycle and netted against any other payments or charges as indicated by CMS’s netting rules.²

² For more information on CMS’s payment and collections processes, please visit <https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-45/subtitle-A/subchapter-B/part-156/subpart-M/section-156.1215>.

IV. SUMMARY OF OBSERVATIONS

An observation is a deviation from APTC and FFE user fee program requirements that is called to the attention of management for purposes of improving compliance in future program years but that does not require correction to payment. While CMS is not adjusting APTC payment or imposing CMPs for observations for the audit of the 2018 benefit year, we note issuer deviations from CMS's enrollment regulations or guidance where applicable. As noted in the PPFMG External Audit Communication letter dated July 19, 2019, consistent with the expiration of the good faith policy at 45 CFR § 156.800(c), CMS may begin imposing CMPs for observations identified beginning with benefit year 2020 audits. CMS's audit procedures identified the following three (3) observations:

- Quartz provided coverage despite not receiving full binder payment for one (1) of the one hundred and seventy-nine (179) policies reviewed in the Premium Payment Data Extract Validation. The issuer indicated, "Member effectuated in error. No additional explanation, not a systematic issue. Human error." Pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.400(e), the FFE requires payment of the first month's premium to effectuate an enrollment.
- Quartz provided coverage despite not receiving the full binder payment within thirty (30) calendar days from the coverage effective date for six (6) of the one hundred and seventy-nine (179) policies reviewed in the Premium Payment Data Extract Validation and for one (1) policy reviewed in the Forty-Five (45) Subscriber Sample Policy-level Documentation Review. The issuer indicated the following for the seven (7) policies:
 - For three (3) policies, the issuer indicated the enrollment was effectuated after the members paid at later date. The issuer further indicated, "No additional explanation, not a systematic issue. Human error."
 - For two (2) policies, the issuer indicated the members were effectuated in error. The issuer further indicated, "No additional explanation, not a systematic issue. Human error."
 - For one (1) policy, the issuer indicated, "Member needed more explanation on why we were requiring two months premium to activate coverage and when paid, we effectuated coverage after due date to accommodate the member. Not a systematic issue."
 - For one (1) policy, the issuer indicated, "Member paid for two months in Oct and we effectuated coverage."

Pursuant to 45 CFR § 155.400(e), for first month (or binder payment) premiums, premium payment deadlines must be no earlier than the coverage effective date, but no later than thirty (30) calendar days from the coverage effective date.

- Quartz continued to provide coverage, despite not receiving the full outstanding premium balance within the three (3) month grace period, for forty-one (41) of the one hundred and seventy-nine (179) policies reviewed in the Premium Payment Data Extract Validation. The issuer indicated the following for the forty-one (41) policies:
 - For twenty-eight (28) policies, the issuer indicated the member was placed in delinquency late and the balance owed was turned over to collections.
 - For thirteen (13) policies, the issuer noted the member was not correctly placed in delinquency and paid the outstanding balance later in the year.

The issuer indicated, "The issue lies in the fact that our delinquency process required manual review and action to initiate the member entering their grace period. When our reporting failed to

capture a member who was delinquent on their monthly payment, our billing team did not take action on those policies to send them a late payment notice and enter them into grace. Unfortunately, once their first month of delinquency was missed, the same policies were missed in consecutive month reporting due to the report logic being dependent on dates and only manual review would catch the policy being overdue. Since Quartz does not retroactively apply the grace period and only begins the grace period once we notify the member of their delinquency status, we follow grace period rules for termination back to the end of the first month of grace for members receiving APTC.” The issuer further indicated, “our billing team has made report revisions and also implemented an automated process which sends late payment notices and enters members into grace. We also have catch reports which are consistently worked to ensure policies don’t slip through the cracks of the delinquency logic.” Pursuant to 45 CFR § 156.270(g), if an enrollee receiving APTC exhausts the three (3) month grace period without paying all outstanding premiums, the QHP issuer must terminate the enrollee's enrollment through the Exchange on the last day of the first month of the three (3) month grace period.

Appendix 1 – Issuer Management Response to Net Financial Adjustment

Issuer HIOS ID: 37833


Issuer Name: Quartz Health Benefit Plans Corporation (Quartz)

The undersigned Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Financial Officer (CFO) or other authorized official who can legally and financially bind this issuer has reviewed the information included in the audit report of the issuer's 2018 benefit year APTC and FFE user fee program, resulting in a payment to Quartz of \$12,854.79, consisting of \$13,660.77 in APTC to be paid to Quartz and \$805.98 in FFE user fees to be paid to CMS, and:

(INITIAL) X Agrees with the audit net adjustment amount above, confirming the audit financial impact and observation(s), if applicable, and as such this report will be considered final and published.

Or

(INITIAL) _____ Disagrees and requests a review of additional information that may impact the audit net adjustment amount resulting from the audit. As you requested a review, CMS will consider this draft only a preliminary audit report. As the review option was selected, you must provide a written explanation with any additional documentation when you return this response within thirty (30) calendar days of the date of this draft audit report. CMS will review the written explanation and any supporting documentation to determine if the report can be amended in a mutually acceptable manner. If you and CMS are unable to come to a mutually acceptable result, your response to this report will be included in the final published audit report.

DocuSigned by:

Signed: _____
9BC77E7A33454CF...
(Signature of authorized official acting on behalf of the Issuer)

Printed Name: Jim Hiveley
(Print name of signature)

Position Title: Vice President of Finance, CFO
(Title of authorized official acting on behalf of the Issuer)

Direct Telephone Number: (608) 471-4806

Email Address: jim.hiveley@quartzbenefits.com

Date: December 20, 2022 | 9:55 AM CST